UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : Hon. Douglas E. Arpert

v. Mag. No. 19-1583-02 (DEA)

ENDRIT KLLOGJERI : ORDER FOR A CONTINUANCE

This matter having come before the Court on the joint application of Rachael A. Honig, Acting United States Attorney for the District of New Jersey (by Eric A. Boden, Assistant United States Attorney), and defendant Endrit Kllogjeri (by Evans D. Prieston, Esq.), for an order granting a continuance of the proceedings in the above-captioned matter from the date this Order is signed through June 11, 2021 to permit defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation in this matter and to allow the parties to discuss the matter and conduct plea negotiations in an effort to resolve the case before grand jury proceedings and trial; and the Court having previously entered Standing Orders 20-02, 20-03, 20-09, 20-12, extensions of Standing Order 20-12, and Standing Order 21-04, continuing this and all other criminal matters in this district until June 1, 2021, in response to the national emergency created by COVID-19; and the defendant being aware that he has the right to have the matter submitted to a grand jury within 30 days of the date of his arrest pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(b); and two prior continuances having been entered on December 10, 2019 and

February 28, 2020; and the defendant, through his attorney, having consented to the continuance; and for good and sufficient cause shown,

IT IS THE FINDING OF THIS COURT that this action should be continued for the following reasons:

- (1) The parties anticipate exchanging discovery and entering into plea negotiations, which would render any grand jury proceedings and any subsequent trial of this matter unnecessary;
- (2) In response to the national emergency created by COVID-19, the Chief Judge of this Court has entered Standing Orders 20-02, 20-03, 20-09, 20-12, extensions of Standing Order 20-12, and Standing Order 21-04, which are incorporated herein by reference;
- (3) The failure to grant such a continuance would deny counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence;
 - (4) The defendant has consented to the aforementioned continuance;
- (5) The grant of a continuance will likely conserve judicial resources;
- (6) Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7), the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

WHEREFORE, it is on this 7th day of April, 2021;

ORDERED that this action be, and it hereby is, continued from the date this Order is signed through June 11, 2021; and it is further

ORDERED that the period from the date this Order is signed through

June 11, 2021 shall be excludable in computing time under the Speedy Trial

Act of 1974.

HON. DOUGLAS E. ARPERT United States Magistrate Judge

Form and entry consented to:

Eric A. Boden

Eric A. Boden

Assistant United States Attorney

Evans D. Prieston, Esq.

Counsel for Defendant Endrit Kllogjeri